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2 Summary
What is mass segregation?

A statistically significant spatial concentration of a sample of stars of a given mass range compared to the entire population.

Note potential observational bias

Observations usually only provide 2-dimensional spatial information.
What is the origin of mass segregation?

1. PRIMORDIAL
   - star formation

2. DYNAMICAL
   - two-body relaxation
What is the origin of mass segregation?

**Primordial**

- Star formation

**Note**: so far there exists no observational evidence for *primordial* mass segregation.
What is the origin of mass segregation?

1. **PRIMORDIAL**
   - star formation

2. **DYNAMICAL**
   - two-body relaxation (energy equipartition)

Relaxation time (Spitzer & Hart, 1971):

\[
t_{\text{rel}} = 0.14 \frac{N}{\ln(0.4N)} \sqrt{\frac{R_{\text{hm}}}{GM}} \approx 0.1 \frac{N}{\ln N} t_{\text{cross}}
\]
Mass Segregation

**Introduction**

What is the origin of mass segregation?

1. **PRIMORDIAL**
   - star formation

2. **DYNAMICAL**
   - two-body relaxation (→ energy equipartition)

Relaxation time (Spitzer & Hart, 1971):

\[ t_{\text{rel}} = 0.14 \frac{N}{\ln(0.4N)} \sqrt{\frac{R_{hm}}{GM}} \approx 0.1 \frac{N}{\ln N} t_{\text{cross}} \]

Spitzer (1969): energy equipartition of self-gravitating systems with two mass groups (where \( m_2 \gg m_1 \), \( \sum m_2 = M_2 \ll M_{C_1} = \rho_{C_1} r_{C_1}^3 \); \( C_i \) denotes the core of group \( i \))

\[ t_{\text{eq}} = \frac{(\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2)^{3/2}}{8\sqrt{6\pi} \rho_{C_1} G^2 m_2 \ln N_1} = \frac{3\sqrt{\pi}}{16} \left(1 + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{\sigma_1^2}\right)^{3/2} \frac{m_1}{m_2} t_{\text{rel},1} \approx \frac{m_1}{m_2} \sigma_1 = \sigma_2 \frac{m_1}{m_2} t_{\text{rel},1} \]
What is the origin of mass segregation?

1. PRIMORDIAL
   - star formation

2. DYNAMICAL
   - two-body relaxation (→ energy equipartition)

Relaxation time (Spitzer & Hart, 1971):

\[
t_{\text{rel}} = 0.14 \frac{N}{\ln(0.4N)} \sqrt{\frac{R_{\text{hm}}}{GM}} \approx 0.1 \frac{N}{\ln N} t_{\text{cross}}
\]

Spitzer (1969): energy equipartition of self-gravitating systems with two mass groups (where \(m_2 \gg m_1\), \(\sum m_2 = M_2 \ll M_{C_1} = \rho_{C_1} r_{C_1}^3\); \(C_i\) denotes the core of group \(i\))

\[
t_{\text{eq}} = \frac{(\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{8 \sqrt{6\pi} \rho_{C_1} G^2 m_2 \ln N_1} = \frac{3\sqrt{\pi}}{16} \left(1 + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{\sigma_1^2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{m_1}{m_2} t_{\text{rel},1} \approx \frac{m_1}{m_2} t_{\text{rel},1}
\]

In young massive star clusters (\(N \approx 10^4\)):

\[
\begin{align*}
  m_2 &\approx \langle m_{\text{high}} \rangle \approx 50 \, M_\odot \\
  m_1 &\approx \langle m_{\text{low}} \rangle \approx 0.5 \, M_\odot
\end{align*}
\]

\(\Rightarrow t_{\text{eq}} \approx 10^{-2} t_{\text{rel},1} \approx t_{\text{cross}} < 1 \, \text{Myr (!)}\)
What is the origin of mass segregation?

1. **Primordial**
   - star formation

2. **Dynamical**
   - two-body relaxation (→ energy equipartition)

Mass segregation is a powerful diagnostic tool of self-gravitating stellar systems

- imprint of
  1. formation process ("primordial") and
  2. dynamical evolution

- only three diagnostic parameters required
  1. physical age $t_*$
  2. equipartition time $t_{eq}$

$$\Rightarrow \text{degree of dynamical mass segregation } \mu_{\text{dyn}} = \mu(t_*, t_{eq})$$

3. apparent degree of mass segregation $\mu_{\text{obs}} = \mu(x, y, ...)$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} 
\text{primordial mass segregation} & \text{if } \mu_{\text{obs}} \gg \mu_{\text{dyn}} \\
\text{purely dynamical mass segregation} & \text{if } \mu_{\text{obs}} \approx \mu_{\text{dyn}}
\end{cases}$$
A new efficient measure of mass segregation

Goal

Efficient measure of mass segregation $\mu$ for both observational and numerical data:

- geometrically independent,
- independence of quantitative mass measurement,
- numerical robustness, and
- simple, intuitive measure.

$\Rightarrow$

Minimum Spanning Tree (MST)

Definition

$\text{MST} \equiv \text{shortest connecting graph } G = (V, E) \text{ of all vertices } v_i \in V \text{ without closed loops, where }$

$V := \{v_1, \ldots, v_N\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ "vertices" }$

$E := \{\{v_i, v_j\} | v_i, v_j \in V\} \text{ "edges" }$
A new efficient measure of mass segregation

Goal
Efficient measure of mass segregation $\mu$ for both observational and numerical data:
- geometrically independent,
- independence of quantitative mass measurement,
- numerical robustness, and
- simple, intuitive measure.

$\Rightarrow$ Minimum Spanning Tree (MST)

Definition
$\text{MST} \equiv \text{shortest connecting graph } G = (V, E) \text{ of all vertices } v_i \in V \text{ without closed loops,}$

where

$V := \{v_1, \ldots, v_N\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ \hspace{1cm} “vertices”

and

$E := \{\{v_i, v_j\} \mid v_i, v_j \in V\}$ \hspace{1cm} “edges”
Measuring mass segregation via the MST

**Construction**

1. Construct sub-MST, i.e. shortest connecting subgraph $G' = (V', E')$ of $n < N$ stars, where $V' := \{v'_1, ..., v'_n\} \subset V$, $E' := \{\{v'_i, v'_j\} \mid v'_i, v'_j \in V'\}$.

2. Assign to each edge $e = \{v'_i, v'_j\} \in E'$ the weight $w_e \equiv w_{ij} \equiv ||v'_i - v'_j||$ ("edge length").
### Measuring mass segregation via the MST

#### Construction

1. **Construct sub-MST**: Construct a sub-MST, i.e., the shortest connecting subgraph $G' = (V', E')$ of $n < N$ stars, where $V' := \{v'_1, \ldots, v'_n\} \subset V$, $E' := \{\{v'_i, v'_j\} | v'_i, v'_j \in V'\}$.

2. **Assign edge weights**: Assign to each edge $e = \{v'_i, v'_j\} \in E'$ the weight $w_e \equiv w_{ij} \equiv ||v'_i - v'_j||$ ("edge length").

#### Quantifying mass segregation

1. **Define measure $\mu$** of the sub-MST:

2. **Calculate $\mu$ of the $n$ most massive stars**:

3. **Calculate $\bar{\mu}$, $\Delta \mu$ of $k$ sets of $n$ random stars**:

4. **Normalize $\mu$ (⇒ signature if $\mu > 1$)**:

5. **Normalize $\Delta \mu$ (⇒ significance $\frac{\mu - 1}{\Delta \mu}$)**:

Allison et al. (2009)

\[
\lambda = \sum_{e \in E'} w_e
\]

\[
\lambda_{\text{MST}}^{\text{mass}}
\]

\[
\langle \lambda_{\text{MST}}^{\text{ref}} \rangle, \Delta \lambda_{\text{MST}}^{\text{ref}}
\]

\[
\lambda_{\text{MST}} = \frac{\langle \lambda_{\text{MST}}^{\text{ref}} \rangle}{\lambda_{\text{MST}}^{\text{mass}}}
\]

\[
\Delta \lambda_{\text{MST}} = \frac{\Delta \lambda_{\text{MST}}^{\text{ref}}}{\lambda_{\text{MST}}^{\text{mass}}}
\]
**Measuring mass segregation via the MST**

**Construction**

1. Construct sub-MST, i.e. shortest connecting subgraph \( G' = (V', E') \) of \( n < N \) stars, where \( V' := \{v'_1, ..., v'_n\} \subset V, E' := \{\{v'_i, v'_j\} | v'_i, v'_j \in V'\} \).

2. Assign to each edge \( e = \{v'_i, v'_j\} \in E' \) the weight \( w_e \equiv w_{ij} \equiv \|v'_i - v'_j\| \) (“edge length”).

**Quantifying mass segregation**

1. Define a measure \( \mu \) of the sub-MST:

2. Calculate \( \mu \) of the \( n \) most massive stars:

3. Calculate \( \bar{\mu}, \Delta \mu \) of \( k \) sets of \( n \) random stars:

4. Normalize \( \mu \) (\( \Rightarrow \) signature if \( \mu > 1 \)):

5. Normalize \( \Delta \mu \) (\( \Rightarrow \) significance \( \frac{\mu - 1}{\Delta \mu} \)):

Use the *geometric mean* \( \Lambda_{\text{MST}} \) of the edges rather than their sum \( \Lambda_{\text{MST}} \).

\( \Rightarrow \) Acts as an intermediate pass that damps contributions from extreme edge lengths.

---

**Allison et al. (2009)**

\[
\lambda = \sum_{e \in E'} w_e
\]

\[
\lambda_{\text{MST}}^{\text{mass}} = \langle \lambda_{\text{ref}}^{\text{MST}} \rangle, \quad \Delta \lambda_{\text{MST}}^{\text{ref}}
\]

\[
\Lambda_{\text{MST}} = \frac{\langle \lambda_{\text{ref}}^{\text{MST}} \rangle}{\lambda_{\text{MST}}^{\text{mass}}}
\]

\[
\Delta \Lambda_{\text{MST}} = \frac{\Delta \lambda_{\text{ref}}^{\text{MST}}}{\lambda_{\text{MST}}^{\text{mass}}}
\]

---

**Olczak et al. (2011)**

\[
\gamma = \sqrt[n]{\prod_{e \in E'} w_e}
\]

\[
\gamma_{\text{MST}}^{\text{mass}} = \langle \gamma_{\text{ref}}^{\text{MST}} \rangle, \quad \Delta \gamma_{\text{MST}}^{\text{ref}}
\]

\[
\Gamma_{\text{MST}} = \frac{\langle \gamma_{\text{ref}}^{\text{MST}} \rangle}{\gamma_{\text{MST}}^{\text{mass}}}
\]

\[
\Delta \Gamma_{\text{MST}} = \frac{\Delta \gamma_{\text{ref}}^{\text{MST}}}{\gamma_{\text{MST}}^{\text{mass}}}
\]
Comparison of $\Gamma_{\text{MST}}$ and $\Lambda_{\text{MST}}$ via model star clusters

Star cluster with 10k single stars and Kroupa (2001) mass function in the range $0.08 - 150 \, M_\odot$. Initial mass segregation parametrized via $S = 0.3 \in [0, 1)$ following Šubr et al. (2008).

groups of mass ordered stars: 1-50, 51-100, 101-200, 201-500, 501-1000, 1001-2000, 2001-5000, and 5001-10000
The evolution of mass segregation in model star clusters

Fixed parameters

- **no initial mass segregation**
- $N = 10k$ (centre-of-mass particles)
- $R_{hm} = 1$ pc
- IMF: Kroupa (2001) with $m \in [0.08, 150] M_\odot$
- MST groups: 1-5, 6-10, 11-20, 21-50, 51-500, 501-5000 most massive stars

Variable parameters

- King model: $W_0 = \{3, 12\}$
- stellar evolution: $SE = \{on, off\}$
- virial ratio: $Q = \{0.1, 0.5\}$
- binary fraction: $b_f = \{0.0, 0.1, 1.0\}$
The effect of the density distribution:

\[ N = 10k, \ W_0 = 12, \ Q = 0.5, \ b_f = 0.0, \ SE \]

\[ N = 10k, \ W_0 = 03, \ Q = 0.5, \ b_f = 0.0, \ SE \]
Mass Segregation

Understanding the evolution of mass segregation

The effect of the density distribution:

\( N = 10k, W_0 = 12, Q = 0.5, b_f = 0.0, SE \)

The effect of stellar evolution:

\( N = 10k, W_0 = 12, Q = 0.5, b_f = 0.0, SE \)
Mass Segregation
Understanding the evolution of mass segregation

The effect of the density distribution:

\[ N = 10k, \ W_0 = 12, \ Q = 0.5, \ b_f = 0.0, \ SE \]

\[ N = 10k, \ W_0 = 03, \ Q = 0.5, \ b_f = 0.0, \ SE \]

The effect of stellar evolution:

\[ N = 10k, \ W_0 = 12, \ Q = 0.5, \ b_f = 0.0, \ SE \]
The effect of the initial virial ratio (equilibrium vs. cold initial state):

\[ N = 10k, \ W_0 = 12, \ Q = 0.5, \ b_f = 0.0, \ SE \]

\[ N = 10k, \ W_0 = 12, \ Q = 0.1, \ b_f = 0.0, \ SE \]

\[ N = 10k, \ W_0 = 03, \ Q = 0.5, \ b_f = 0.0, \ SE \]

\[ N = 10k, \ W_0 = 03, \ Q = 0.1, \ b_f = 0.0, \ SE \]
The effect of binaries:

\[ N = 10k, \ W_0 = 12, \ Q = 0.5, \ \ b_f = 0.0, \ SE \]

\[ N = 10k, \ W_0 = 12, \ Q = 0.5, \ \ b_f = 0.1, \ SE \]
The effect of binaries:

\[ N = 10k, W_0 = 12, Q = 0.5, b_f = 0.0, SE \]

\[ N = 10k, W_0 = 12, Q = 0.5, b_f = 0.1, SE \]
Mass Segregation

Understanding the evolution of mass segregation

The effect of binaries:

\[ N = 10k, W_0 = 12, Q = 0.5, b_f = 0.0, SE \]

\[ N = 10k, W_0 = 12, Q = 0.5, b_f = 0.1, SE \]

\[ N = 10k, W_0 = 12, Q = 0.5, b_f = 1.0, SE, p \]

\[ N = 10k, W_0 = 12, Q = 0.5, b_f = 0.1, SE, p \]
The Arches cluster: one of the densest and most massive young Galactic star clusters

- age: $t \approx 2.5$ Myr
- O-stars: $N \approx 150 \ (m_* > 20 \, M_\odot)$
- mass: $M \gtrsim 10^4 \, M_\odot \ (\rho \gtrsim 10^5 \, M_\odot \, \text{pc}^{-3})$

Espinoza et al. (2009)
The **Arches cluster**: one of the densest and most massive young Galactic star clusters

- age: \( t \approx 2.5 \text{ Myr} \)
- O-stars: \( N \approx 150 \left( m_* > 20 M_\odot \right) \)
- mass: \( M \gtrsim 10^4 M_\odot \left( \rho \gtrsim 10^5 M_\odot \text{ pc}^{-3} \right) \)

Models based on parameters estimated by Harfst et al. (2010) and evolved with **NBODY6GPU** (Aarseth, 2003; Nitadori & Aarseth, 2012).

Two best-fitting (rotating) King models with \( W_0 = 3 \) and 'standard' IMF from Kroupa (2001):

1. **non-rotating** \( M_N \): \( \omega_0 = 0.0 \), \( N_0 = 66k \)
   \[ M_0 = (4.32 \pm 0.06) \times 10^4 M_\odot \]
2. **rotating** \( M_R \): \( \omega_0 = 1.5 \), \( N_0 = 60k \)
   \[ M_0 = (3.87 \pm 0.07) \times 10^4 M_\odot \]

→ Note: maximum \( \omega_0 \) for stable rotation.
Energy equipartition drives strong mass segregation of the 100 most massive stars.

**Initial**  

![Initial configuration](image)

**$t = 2 \text{ Myr}$**  

![Configuration at $t = 2 \text{ Myr}$](image)
Energy equipartition drives strong mass segregation of the 100 most massive stars.

Mass segregation measure $\Gamma_{\text{MST}}$ (Olczak et al., 2011).
Mass-dependent velocity dispersion in the cluster core as observed by Clarkson et al. (2012).
Mass-dependent velocity dispersion in the cluster core as observed by Clarkson et al. (2012).

No evidence for primordial origin of mass segregation.
Mass segregation is a powerful diagnostic tool

Information about

- star formation process ("primordial mass segregation")
- dynamical evolution

Measuring mass segregation

- Analytic estimates: use $t_{eq}$ rather than $t_{rel}$!
- Efficient numerical measure: $\Gamma_{MST}$ (= Minimum Spanning Tree + geometrical mean)

Mass segregation in (young) star clusters

- Cluster parameters have a strong impact on the evolution of mass segregation:
  $\rightarrow$ speed-up for high concentrations and subvirial initial conditions.
- Stellar evolution strongly affects the signature of mass segregation in young clusters.
- Mass segregation in the Arches cluster in good agreement with dynamical models in 4-dim.
  $\rightarrow$ No evidence for primordial mass segregation so far.


